

Supplemental Materials for Nicholas G. Rupp and Curtis R. Taylor, "Who Initiates Recalls and Who Cares? Evidence from the Automobile Industry," *The Journal of Industrial Economics*.

Appendix Table IV: Nationality of the Manufacturer in the Bivariate Probit

Variable	<i>Pr(Initiator)</i>	<i>Pr(WSJ Reported)</i>
Constant	-0.269 (-0.917)	-0.655 (-2.532)
U.S. Big Three	-0.016 (-0.076)	0.310 (1.799)
Ford*Vehicles recalled	0.153 (2.864)	0.090 (1.645)
Chrysler*Vehicles recalled	0.222 (2.767)	0.036 (0.681)
GM*Vehicles recalled	0.009 (0.460)	0.050 (1.086)
Toyota*Vehicles recalled	0.722 (2.856)	-0.195 (-0.989)
Honda*Vehicles recalled	-0.039 (-0.672)	0.140 (1.609)
Nissan*Vehicles recalled	-0.005 (-0.055)	0.078 (1.134)
High hazard	-0.443 (-2.153)	0.087 (0.710)
Percent repaired	0.104 (0.350)	0.777 (2.902)
Placard/Equipment recall	-0.745 (-1.911)	—
High bond rating	-0.443 (-1.716)	0.051 (0.254)
Current year model	-0.928 (-4.597)	-0.022 (-0.119)
Three+ years old model	1.107 (4.491)	-0.089 (-0.372)
Three+ years*Vehicles recalled	0.055 (0.957)	0.001 (0.014)
Inaugural model	0.367 (1.865)	0.260 (1.321)
Log likelihood	-518.75	
Sample size	479	479

Note: *z*-statistics, in parentheses, are based on White (1980) heteroscedasticity-consistent standard errors.

Placard/Equipment recall is omitted from the *WSJ* estimation due to a lack of *WSJ* observations.

Appendix Table V: Testing for Nonlinearities in the Bivariate Probit ($n=479$)

Variable	$Pr(Initiator)$	$Pr(WSJ\ Reported)$
Constant	-0.770 (-2.394)	-0.218 (-0.831)
Ford	0.515 (1.561)	0.479 (2.347)
Chrysler	0.643 (1.862)	-1.237 (-5.080)
Toyota	0.765 (1.157)	-1.441 (-3.995)
Honda	0.459 (0.945)	-0.301 (-0.875)
Nissan	0.964 (2.235)	-0.164 (-0.650)
Ford*Vehicles recalled	0.126 (0.889)	0.000 (0.009)
Chrysler*Vehicles recalled	0.152 (0.705)	0.269 (3.548)
GM*Vehicles recalled	0.214 (2.804)	0.026 (0.876)
Toyota*Vehicles recalled	-2.000 (-1.126)	0.059 (0.927)
Honda*Vehicles recalled	-0.576 (-1.286)	0.108 (1.265)
Nissan*Vehicles recalled	-0.228 (-0.539)	0.037 (0.803)
Ford*(Vehicles recalled) ²	0.005 (0.372)	—
Chrysler*(Vehicles recalled) ²	0.004 (0.162)	—
GM*(Vehicles recalled) ²	-0.007 (-1.730)	—
Toyota*(Vehicles recalled) ²	1.835 (1.590)	—
Honda*(Vehicles recalled) ²	0.061 (1.318)	—
Nissan*(Vehicles recalled) ²	0.019 (0.312)	—
High hazard	-0.298 (-2.082)	0.165 (1.271)
Percent repaired	0.092 (0.303)	0.805 (2.800)
Placard/Equipment recall	-0.661 (-1.755)	—
High bond rating	-0.213 (-0.746)	0.250 (0.988)
Current year model	-0.957 (-4.483)	-0.058 (-0.308)
Three+ years old model	1.177 (3.878)	-0.194 (-0.871)
Three+ years*Vehicles recalled	0.020 (0.167)	0.015 (0.533)
Inaugural model	0.319 (1.593)	0.481 (2.152)
Log likelihood	-474.87	

Note: z-statistics, in parentheses, are based on White (1980) heteroscedasticity-consistent standard errors.

Placard/Equipment recall is omitted from the *WSJ* estimation due to a lack of *WSJ* observations.

Appendix Table VI: Probability that the *WSJ* Reports the Recall

Model Sample	Bivariate Probit	
	All	Marginal Effect
Variable	Coefficient	
Constant	-0.209 (-0.807)	—
Ford	0.472 (2.336)	0.145
Chrysler	-1.244 (-5.164)	-0.451
Toyota	-1.446 (-4.012)	-0.484
Honda	-0.310 (-0.905)	-0.078
Nissan	-0.171 (-0.684)	-0.070
Ford*Vehicles recalled	-0.001 (-0.027)	-0.001
Chrysler*Vehicles recalled	0.269 (3.532)	0.092
GM*Vehicles recalled	0.022 (0.927)	0.006
Toyota*Vehicles recalled	0.059 (0.358)	0.016
Honda*Vehicles recalled	0.109 (1.241)	0.016
Nissan*Vehicles recalled	0.037 (0.772)	0.012
High hazard	0.165 (1.268)	0.055
Percent repaired	0.804 (2.798)	0.271
High bond rating	0.245 (0.969)	0.066
Current year model	-0.059 (-0.316)	-0.017
Three+ years old model	-0.196 (-0.882)	-0.053
Three+ years*Vehicles recalled	0.016 (0.624)	0.004
Inaugural model	0.482 (2.152)	0.153
Log likelihood	-480.21	
Sample size	479	

Note: *z*-statistics, in parentheses, are based on White (1980) heteroscedasticity-consistent standard errors.

See Table 2 for the bivariate probit results for the probability of initiator recalls.